

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,233	EBDRUP ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(3) _____.

 (2) Rosemarie R. Wilk-Orescan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2005
Time: AM (E.D.T.)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Mandeville et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,267,952)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for Supplemental Examiner's amendment given to avoid any potential issues under 35 U.S.C. 102/103 over newly discovered Mandeville et al. patent.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)